

Recommendation Systems as Anomaly Detectors for Market Abuse Detection

July 2020

Summary

RecSys as Anomaly detector

- How does it work
- Requirements
- Delivery

Anomaly detection in MAD

- 🔶 as is
- would be

RecSys for MAD

- empirical experiment
- results







RecSys as Anomaly detector How does it work

In a nutshell and beyond the underlying mechanisms of operation, a RecSys is an engine capable of **profiling user** and **items** based on their past **interactions**.

Its main feature is that it is able to do so not just on a single-user basis, but **looking at them as a whole**, allowing to portray traits of each user behavior *even for those who rarely interacts*.

The use for which they were designed is to be able to **recommend** items to users.

users interactions items

The use we are making of them is the opposite: to judge, in retrospect, whether a post-training interaction is in line or not with the behavior that the user has maintained in the training period — an **anomaly detector**.



Requirements

The numerical methods behind this type of approach are generic enough to be able to examine any dataset, as long as you can find two categorical dimensions to use in the roles of **user** and item, and a third quantitative metrics to be used in the interaction role, that can be thought as a proxy of some "compatibility score" through which to calibrate the RecSys.





Requirements

list







Items

Users

retail goods

Interactions

purchases

browsing activity

Requirements

list



customers



Items

series and movies





rating

viewing activity

Requirements

B2C markets



Users

securities

customers

countervalues

Interactions

- number of trades
- volume requested
- RFQ hit-rate









lis

Once calibrated through some past interaction data, the RecSys will profile user and items based just on their mutual interactions in such a way to:

- gather similar users and gather similar items
- suggest items from similar user

- users interactions ite
- judge whether a user and an item fit together...

…or not: report anomalous user-item interactions

Anomaly Detection in MAD



Anomaly detection in MAD

lis

 Monitoring the data flows of a financial market place with the aim to find anomalous and suspicious behaviors of market participants

more than 50 algorithms set up by the Regulator.

- several metrics (function of prices, volumes, order frequencies, executed trades, etc...) have to be limited to certain specific ranges of values
- monitoring is demanded to market participants who have to:
 - equip themselves with monitoring tools
 - implement normative patterns
 - fine-tune thresholds

Anomaly detection in MAD

would be

list

Machine Learning (ML) methodologies can provide more automated solutions

- an unsupervised algorithm could autonomously learn typical/anomalous behaviors
 - use the ML alarms to clean up the normative patterns alarms
 - use ML information to fine tune normative patterns thresholds
 - ML is non-parametric so it has not to be re-adjusted on market condition changes
- ML techniques need very large amount of data, while lots of subjects in a financial market trade very little
- A RecSys is able to learn patterns and profile users/items not just on a singleuser basis, but **looking at them as a whole**, allowing to portray traits of each subject behavior, even for those who rarely interacts.



empirical experiment

Dataset

- ♦ ~ 1.7 M executed trades
- 3 months (Aug-Nov 2019)
- ♦ ~200 subjects
- ♦ ~ 5k+ securities

Workflow

- A definite time window of past data is fed into the RecSys
- it calibrates and makes its own users and items representations
- future operations are submitted to the calibrated RecSys as (user, item) pairs for each occurring trade
- the RecSys will return a score that can be interpreted as an affinity value or, more interesting for our purpose, as an anomaly score



empirical experiment

What kind of patterns?

Select what data fields to be used as the three main roles of a RecSys:

🔶 user

subject

🕨 item

ISIN

countervalue (log-binning)

ISIN + countervalue-level-per-user

interaction

 (proper normalized) count of transactions belonging to the (**user**, **item**) pair



lis

empirical experiment

Each choice corresponds to a different RecSys

Different RecSys are not necessarily competing against each other

 Any RecSys which is able to calibrate successfully, i.e. to capture patterns of common usage (and, by complement, patterns of anomaly usage) between its pair of user/item dimensions, can be used to setup a battery of detection tools which cooperate to raise alarms each on a specific aspect of the user's behaviour.



lis



anomaly scores for a single user: RecSys [subject, ISIN]

all securities available in the dataset were given a score for each user, not just the possibly small set of items she already dealt with in the training dataset.







List

anomaly scores for a single user: RecSys [subject, countervalue]

- Here *item* dimension is not categorical and instead has its own metric: so the log-bins were not sorted on the x-axis by the score, but were left in their natural order
- The 'simple' bell-shape of this output resembles a (vertical reflected) countervalues distribution: a natural pattern for each subject is just to have a main operating interval with increasingly reduced excursions moving away from it.
- A simple statistical analysis could be enough? Well: not all users have, taken alone, enough operation to allow a singleuser statistical profiling.



Backtesting

- a small sample of the available data was taken out of training and used as a test bed for trained systems
- as a self-consistency check, one can inspect the dataset looking for items similar to the one reported as the most anomalous one in the test dataset.
- Comparison of anomaly scores distributions:
 - in training dataset
 vs
 in testbed dataset
 - for most anomalous user
 vs
 for most anomalous item
- as a reference, in red is marked the most anomalous point

DATE	SUBJECT	ISIN	#	n-score	z-score
2019-11-15	1000456	JP3942800008	1	0.972784	3.851117
2019 - 11 - 11	1000456	JP3942800008	1	0.972784	3.851117
2019 - 11 - 13	1043896	CH0002187810	2	0.944832	3.374233
2019 - 11 - 12	1043896	US92823T1088	5	0.943670	3.363270
2019 - 11 - 15	1043896	IT0005388449	1	0.939825	3.314311
2019 - 11 - 13	1043896	IT0005388449	1	0.939825	3.314311
2019 - 11 - 12	1043896	IT0005388449	2	0.939825	3.314311
2019-11-14	1009036	GB0009039941	2	0.939060	3.307272
2019 - 11 - 11	1009036	US6443931000	1	0.938117	3.270927
2019 - 11 - 15	1043896	SG1P32918333	1	0.936573	3.220750



results



Recap and prospects

Recap and prospects

Recap

- We experimented with a dataset similar to those available for MAD, applying RecSys techniques
- Preliminary results clearly show interesting potential of applying such tools in a production environment as ancillary facilities to monitor traders activities looking for unusual behaviors.

Pro

- Being an unsupervised ML approach, it's mostly non-parametric, which is crucial in such a diversified behaviors framework
- Not being a per-user approach, it can be successfully applied even with a large population of moderate or small operation users



Recap and prospects

Outlook

- The approach is quite generic: several possible RecSys can be setup, for the same dataset, each aimed at monitoring specific traits of users behavior.
- OTC markets, where usual metrics are not available, and so usual algorithms cannot be implemented, is a further field of application.





Thank You